

2025-26 CGR - Kirklington with Sutton Howgrave

This report was generated on 23/12/25. Overall 6 respondents completed this questionnaire. The report has been filtered to show the responses for 'All Respondents'. A total of 6 cases fall into this category.

The following charts are restricted to the top 12 codes. Lists are restricted to the most recent 100 rows.

Please tick which best describes you:

I live in Kirklington with Sutton Howgrave Parish Council area (4)  67%

Other, please describe below (2)  33%

I work in Kirklington with Sutton Howgrave Parish Council area (-)

I own a business in Kirklington with Sutton Howgrave Parish Council area (-)

I am a representative of a community organisation in Kirklington with Sutton... (-)

I am a resident of a neighbouring parish, please state below (-)

I am the Division member for Bedale Division of North Yorkshire Council

Clerk for this current parish council

Please state the first part of your postcode:
(for example YO21)

DL8 DL8

DL* DL8


DI8 DL8

What is your opinion with the following potential solution to rectify the issue at Kirklington with Sutton Howgrave Parish Council?

Merge the three civil parishes of Kirklington-cum-Upsland, Sutton with Howgrave and Howgrave to create a single civil parish, which has a council represented by five councillors, maintaining the current council size.

I support the potential solution (3)  50%

I do not support the potential solution (2)  33%

I am unsure (1)  17%

Please give reasons for your response:

Sutton Howgrave and Kirklington are two separate villages, almost a mile apart, and therefore should be represented in proportion to their populations.

Don't have enough information to reach a considered decision

This simplifies the current arrangement. There is no sense in a councillor to represent 2 electors.

Sutton Howgrave should be represented by its own Councillors

This would rationalise the electoral arrangements for the villages

It's fine as it is and difficult to get people to volunteer for these positions.

Is there anything further you would like to add about this community governance review?

It is a good proposal.

No